Quick Facts about the Oral Presentations

AUDIENCE:

EACH group member will present to the whole class via Collaborate.

GET APPROVAL before the presentation:

Email a copy of the handout and the slide show (powerpoint or keynote presentation) to Dr. Medina TWO (2) days in advance. It can be a working draft.

GRADES:

GROUP PREPARATION: 10% of the total class grade

PRESENTATION: 5% of the Total class grade

YOU CANNOT READ THE CONTENT: But you can use notes.

COMPULSORY ATTENDANCE:

I expect you to watch each presentation broadcasted live

If you are absent on a presentation day, you will forfeit 25% of your

presentation grade.

Of course, I will excuse valid absences, such as university sanctioned events and medical emergencies.

PAGE 1 OF 6 DURATION: 12-15 MINUTES

Oral Presentations

Objective:

Allow every student in the class to present on a topic that will further enrich the educational experience of all class members.

Dates and location:

EVERYONE IS REQUIRED TO ATTEND the LIVE BROADCAST.

Collaborate Ultra session

Wednesday, April 8.

Monday, April 13.

Wednesday April 15.

There will be no make up dates.

Groups:

Each group has already been assigned a presentation topic (see list below).

Groups 1 & 2 will present on Wednesday, April 8.

Groups 3, 4 & 5 will present on Monday, April 13.

Groups 6 & 7 will present on Wednesday April 15.

Topics

Caro, Niki. McFarland, USA. US, 2015.

Cuarón, Jonás. Desierto, Mexico, 2015.

Guerrero, Aurora. *Mosquita y Mari*. US, 2012.

Fukunaga, Cary Jôji. Without a Name (Sin nombre). US, 2009.

Call number: DVD PN1997.2 .S563 2009

Saul Guerrero, Gigi. Culture Shock. US, 2019.

Weitz, Chris. A Better Life. US, 2011.

PAGE 2 OF 6 DURATION: 12-15 MINUTES

Wednesday, April 8	
GROUP 1 Culture Shock	
Rita	Elvira
GROUP 2 McFarland, USA	
Alexes	Will
Monday, April 13	
GROUP 3 Desert (Desierto)	
Evan	Claudia
GROUP 4 Without a Name (Sin nombre)	
Olivia	Maisy
GROUP 5 "Crossing the Border"	
Alanis	
Wednesday, April 15	
GROUP 6 A Better Life	
Emma	McKenzie
GROUP 7 Mosquita & Mari	
Lillian	Ivy
GROUP 8 A Night (Una noche)	
David	

PAGE 3 OF 6 DURATION: 12-15 MINUTES

Preparation 10% of total class grade

- Plan, strategize and DIVIDE up the work.
 - 1. Organize yourselves and meet again if necessary.
 - 2. I will not supervise your work but I will be more than glad to provide guidance and help.

Tasks for the PREPARATION MEETINGS:

Divide up the work: presentation content, handout, and visual aids.

The group will be graded on these three items, in addition to each member contribution to the project.

Presentation content:

Answer or address the following questions:

- 1. What is this film about? (Give a brief plot synopsis.)
- 2. What is the social-cultural-political or historical context of the film?
- 3. How does the film deal with issues of nationalism and identity?
- 4. How does the film deal with issued of cultural adaptation and assimilation?
- 5. Does the film subvert, revise questions or validate the official historical versions?
- 6. What is the ideology of the film?
- 7. How are sexual/gender roles assigned?
- 8. How is the border portrayed? Does the film use it as a character or as a setting. Defend your answer.
- 9. Comment on the spaces (settings and otherwise) where the action takes place.
- 10. How does the film present the particular group portrayed?
- 11. What did you learn about US Latina/o/x society by watching the film?
- **12**. Why did the director made the film?
- 13. Would you recommend this film to your audience? Defend your answers.

Handout: BE CREATIVE. And **include** answers to the questions listed above.

Visual Aids: Prepare your slide show (powerpoint or Keynote) carefully

Stay away from using it to present long quotes. KEEP IT VISUAL.

Present pictures, graphics and text (**BUT don't exceed a 12 words limit**). I understand there will be exceptions.

PAGE 4 OF 6 DURATION: 12-15 MINUTES

Delivery: 5% (Total class grade)

YOU CAN USE NOTES BUT YOU CANNOT READ IT OR YOU WILL FORFEIT 50% OF YOUR GRADE.

- Each presentation will last 12-15 minutes, with additional five minutes for questions.
- 2. Divide the time and material presented EVENLY.
- 3. Shorter presentations will incur on total grade point deductions:10-12 minutes: 10%, 8-10 minutes: 20%, 6-8 minutes: 30%
- 4. Each presenter will be evaluated on how effectively delivers the presentation based on the following criteria (please see rubric included below).
 - Introduction of topic
 - Development of topic
 - Ability to engage and involve audience
 - Suitability of presentation for purpose and audience
 - Voice: clarity, pace, fluency

PAGE 5 OF 6 DURATION: 12-15 MINUTES

Oral Presentation Evaluation		
Name:	Grade:	
Handout	/ 20%	
The handout includes clear definitions and/or answers of issues or problems discussed. The answers on the handout identify core issues and appreciate the issues depth and breadth. It includes a short bibliography of secondary sources. Copies were distributed, in printed copies, to the class. It conforms to proper grammar and stylistic rules. It conforms to current MLA Style guidelines.		
The presentation's content	/60%	
The presentation delivers insightful information about the article. Links and connections between ideas made clear. Information was relevant and well expressed in own words. Points were well-organized and developed with sufficient and appropriate details.		
Delivery	(graded separately)	
Total time lapsed:		
Topic introduced clearly and in an interesting way. Purpose of talk was made clear. Outline of points was given. A very good understanding of the topic shown. Links and connections between ideas made clear. Information was relevant and well expressed in own words. Points were well organized and developed with sufficient and appropriate details. Speaker monitors audience and adapts presentation accordingly. An interesting or original approach taken to the topic. Speaker used techniques such as visual aids and props, anecdote, humor, surprising facts, direct audience participation. Presenter spoke clearly and at a good pace to ensure audience comprehension. Delivery was fluent and expressive.		
Topic introduced clearly and in an interesting way. Purpose of talk was made clear. Outline of points was given. A very good understanding of the topic shown. Links and connections between ideas made clear. Information was relevant and well expressed in own words. Points were well organized and developed with sufficient and appropriate details. Speaker monitors audience and adapts presentation accordingly. An interesting or original approach taken to the topic. Speaker used techniques such as visual aids and props, anecdote, humor, surprising facts, direct audience participation. Presenter spoke clearly and at a good pace to ensure audience	/20%	

PAGE 6 OF 6 DURATION: 12-15 MINUTES

Peer Rating of Team Members †

Your Name	Your	Геат
member fulfilled his/her responsibi	dities in completing the team assi- envelope, with your team name/	YOURSELF, and rate the degree to which each griments. DO NOT LEAVE ANY COMMENTARY number on the outside, and give it to your
Excellent (100%): Consistently carrie Very good (90%): Consistently did w Satisfactory (80%): Usually did what Ordinary (70%): Often did what he/ Marginal (75%): Sometimes failed to Deficient (65%): Often failed to show Unsatisfactory (60%): Consistently Superficial (50%): Practically no par No show (0%): No participation at	what he/she was supposed to do, very at he/she was supposed to do, accept she was supposed to do, minimally poshow up or complete assignments, rarely failed to show up or complete assignments, rarely failed to show up or complete assignmenticipation.	well prepared and cooperative. ably prepared and cooperative. prepared andcooperative. prepared. prepared.
These ratings should reflect ea	· -	icipation and effort and sense of
	· -	Commentary
These ratings should reflect ea responsibility, not his or her a	cademic ability.	
These ratings should reflect ea responsibility, not his or her a	cademic ability.	Commentary
These ratings should reflect ea responsibility, not his or her a	cademic ability.	Commentary
These ratings should reflect ea responsibility, not his or her a	cademic ability.	Commentary
These ratings should reflect ea responsibility, not his or her a	cademic ability.	Commentary

[†]Adapted from R. M. Felder & R. Brent, *Effective Teaching*, North Carolina State University, 2000. Percentage Scores added by M. Medina

30 / Journal of Student Centered Learning